ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

RESEARCH ARTICLE



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: Org. Chem. Front., 2014, 1, 630

A water-soluble pillar[10]arene: synthesis, pH-responsive host-guest complexation, and application in constructing a supra-amphiphile[†]

Jie Yang,^a Xiaodong Chi,^a Zhengtao Li,^a Guocan Yu,^a Jiuming He,^b Zeper Abliz,^b Ning Li^a and Feihe Huang*^a

DOI: 10.1039/c4qo00086b

Received 22nd March 2014.

rsc.li/frontiers-organic

Accepted 1st May 2014

A water-soluble pillar[10]arene was prepared. Its pH-responsive host-guest complexation with paraquat and application in constructing a supra-amphiphile were investigated.

Supramolecular chemistry has attracted considerable attention in the last decade due to its superiority in the fabrication of artificial molecular machines, supramolecular polymers, supramolecular gels and other functional supramolecular systems.¹ Macrocyclic hosts, such as calixarenes,² crown ethers,³ cyclodextrins,⁴ and cucurbiturils,⁵ have played prominent roles in the development of supramolecular chemistry. Therefore, it is of continuing interest to design and synthesize novel macrocyclic hosts. Pillar [n] arenes, as a kind of emerging macrocyclic hosts, have been studied actively since the first paper published in 2008.^{6a} The unique columnar conformation and accessible functionalization of pillararenes gave them an outstanding ability to build various host-guest recognition motifs by selectively binding different kinds of guests and provided a useful platform for the construction of novel supramolecular systems, such as chemosensors,⁶ⁿ transmembrane channels,^{6q} supramolecular polymers^{6d} and drug delivery systems.^{7f} The synthesis and host-guest chemistry of pillar[5,6]arenes have been widely explored. However, limited by the cavity size, research on the host-guest chemistry based on pillar[5,6]arenes was confined to a large extent, so the preparation of advanced pillar $[n \ge 7]$ arenes plays a significant part in the evolution of pillar[n] arenes.⁸ Herein, we report the synthesis of the first water-soluble pillar[10]arene (WP10 here) and its pH-responsive binding to paraquat and application in the construction of a supra-amphiphile.

WP10 was synthesized by introducing carboxylate anionic groups on both rims (Scheme 1). Compound 1 was obtained

^bInstitute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100050, P. R. China



Scheme 1 Synthetic route to water-soluble pillar[10]arene WP10 and chemical structures of other compounds studied here.

by a one-step method reported by Hou's group.^{8b} By dealkylation of **1**, *per*-hydroxylated pillar[10]arene **2** was obtained, and then methoxycarbonylmethoxy-substituted pillar[10]arene **3** was prepared by etherification of **2**. The subsequent acidification after hydrolysis of **3** under basic conditions afforded carboxylic acid-substituted pillar[10]arene **4**. By treatment with excessive ammonium hydroxide, **WP10** was obtained.

Due to the existence of twenty carboxylate anionic groups on both rims, **WP10** can be a wonderful host for cationic guest molecules. The complexation between paraquat **G** and **WP10** was firstly studied by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 1, when equimolar **WP10** was added into a solution of **G** (1.00 mM), the signals related to the protons on paraquat shifted upfield significantly. Additionally, an extensive broadening effect occurred when paraquat interacted with **WP10** due to complexation dynamics. The reason is that these protons were located within the cavity of **WP10** and were

^aState Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China. E-mail: fhuang@zju.edu.cn; Fax: +86-571-8795-3189; Tel: +86-571-8795-3189

 $[\]dagger$ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis, characterization, stoichiometry and association constant determination, UV-vis data and other materials. See DOI: 10.1039/c4q000086b



Fig. 1 Partial ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, D_2O , 293 K): (a) G (1.00 mM); (b) G (1.00 mM) and WP10 (1.00 mM); (c) WP10 (1.00 mM). H_a-H_c and H_1-H_3 are the related protons on G and WP10, respectively, displayed in Scheme 1.

shielded by the electron-rich cyclic structure upon forming a threaded structure between **G** and **WP10**. The peak for proton H_a shifted upfield slightly (from 4.42 to 4.15 ppm) compared with protons H_b and H_c on the pyridinium units ($\Delta \delta = -0.51$ and -0.88 ppm), for the reason that when **G** penetrated into the cavity of **WP10**, protons H_b and H_c were located in the electron-rich cavity of **WP10**, while protons H_a were outside the cavity. On the other hand, protons on **WP10** also exhibited slight chemical shift changes due to the interactions with paraquat **G**.

Further evidence for the complexation between **WP10** and **G** was obtained from UV-vis absorption spectroscopy and NOESY. When **G** and **WP10** were mixed in water with a 1:1 molar ratio, the color of the resulting solution turned red immediately, and the spectrum exhibited a broad absorption above 400 nm, which corresponded to the characteristic absorption of the charge-transfer complex between electronrich **WP10** and electron-deficient **G** (Fig. S16, ESI[†]).⁹ Additionally, a NOESY study of a solution of 5.00 mM **WP10** and **G** was conducted to investigate the relative spatial positions of this host–guest complex. As shown in Fig. S17,[†] correlations between protons H_b and H_c on **G** and protons H_1 on **WP10** were observed, suggesting that paraquat **G** was threaded into the cavity of **WP10**.

For the estimation of the binding constant, fluorescence titration experiments of **WP10** with **G** were conducted in aqueous solution at room temperature. As shown in Fig. S13,† the decrease of fluorescence intensity was found upon gradual addition of **G**. A mole ratio plot (Fig. S14, ESI†) based on the fluorescence titration experiments demonstrated that the complex between **WP10** and **G** has a 1:1 stoichiometry. Further evidence for the formation of the 1:1 complex between **WP10** and **G** was obtained by the electrospray ionization mass spectrum. A peak was observed at m/z 1392.3 corresponding to $[WP10 \supset G - 2I - 7NH_4 + 3H]^{2-}$ (Fig. S21, ESI†).

By a non-linear curve-fitting method, the K_a value for **WP10** \supset **G** was calculated to be $(1.25 \pm 0.21) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (Fig. S15, ESI†), which is higher than that for the complex between **WP5** and **G** $(8.20 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1})$,¹⁰ but lower than the corresponding K_a value for the complex between **WP6** and **G** $(1.02 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1})$.^{7e} A reasonable explanation for this binding constant difference was proposed. **WP10** tends to form a much larger cavity than **WP5** or **WP6** due to the strong electrostatic repulsion generated by the negative anionic groups on both rims in solution. Therefore, the cavity of **WP10** is too large for paraquat to form a more stable host–guest complex, resulting in a lower K_a value than that of **WP6**. While for the relatively stronger electrostatic interaction generated by the twenty carbox-ylate anionic groups, the K_a value is larger than that of **WP5**.

To investigate the pH-responsiveness of **WP10**, ¹H NMR spectroscopy was utilized (Fig. S18, ESI[†]). When the watersoluble pillar[10]arene precipitated from D₂O after acidification of the solution by adding DCl, proton signals of **WP10** disappeared accompanied by the proton signals of paraquat **G** returning to the positions before complexation with **WP10**. These indicated that no interactions existed between **WP10** and **G** after **WP10** was acidified. When the solution was made basic again by addition of NaOD, the precipitated host redissolved, the proton signals of **WP10** reappeared, and the protons of paraquat **G** shifted upfield again. These results demonstrated that the host–guest complexation between **WP10** and **G** could be easily controlled by changing the pH of the solution.

After the investigation of this new molecular recognition motif, we constructed a supra-amphiphile based on the host–guest complexation between **WP10** and an amphiphilic guest **G2** derived from the 4,4'-bipyridinium unit (Fig. 2). By using the concentration-dependent conductivity, the critical aggregation concentration (CAC) of **G2** was determined to be 8.87×10^{-6} M



Fig. 2 Top: chemical structure of G2; bottom: TEM images: (a) G2; (b) enlarged image of G2; (c) WP10 \supset G2; (d) enlarged image of WP10 \supset G2; (e) WP10 \supset G2 when the pH of the solution is 6.0; (f) WP10 \supset G2 when the pH of the solution is 7.4.

(Fig. S19†). As revealed by TEM, the typical amphiphilic G2 itself self-assembled in water to form nanoribbons (Fig. 2a). Upon addition of **WP10**, the CAC value increased to 4.06×10^{-5} M (Fig. S20†), which was about 4 times higher than that of G2, confirming the host–guest complexation between **WP10** and G2. From the TEM images, nanosheets were observed (Fig. 2c), drastically different from the nanoribbons formed by G2 alone.

A mechanism was proposed to explain the change in the shape of G2 aggregates which transformed from nanoribbons to nanosheets after complexation with WP10. The microassembled structure of the aggregates formed by amphiphiles is determined by the curvature of the membrane.¹¹ The hydrophobic parts of amphiphiles favor aggregation while the hydrophilic parts are prone to stay in water, so G2 self-assembled in solution with the hydrophobic alkyl chains in the interlayer of the nanoribbons. After complexation with WP10, the anionic hosts were introduced into the hydrophilic membrane of nanoribbons; because of the steric hindrance and electrostatic repulsion generated upon insertion of WP10 molecules, the formation of a nanosheet structure with lower curvature was spontaneous.

The above discussed pH-responsiveness of the **WP10** \supset **G** complex was utilized to control the reversible aggregation nanostructure transition by changing the solution pH. As shown in Fig. 2e, nanoribbons appeared again by adjusting the solution pH to 6.0. As expected, when the pH was adjusted to 7.4, the nanosheets could be regained (Fig. 2f). As demonstrated above, **WP10** precipitated when the solution pH decreased, leading to the destruction of **WP10** \supset **G2**, so nanoribbons were observed. Therefore, self-assembly of this host–guest system can be easily controlled by changing the solution pH.

In conclusion, we reported the synthesis of the first watersoluble pillar[10]arene and its pH-responsive host-guest complexation with paraquat. Furthermore, a supra-amphiphile based on this new host-guest recognition motif was constructed. Compared to the nanoribbon aggregates built by amphiphilic molecule **G2**, the host-gust complex **WP10** \supset **G2** self-assembled into nanosheets instead. By changing the solution pH, the reversible transformation from nanoribbons to nanosheets could be controlled easily. This novel recognition motif based on the water soluble pillar[10]arene in aqueous media will be helpful for the fabrication of functional architectures and definitely bring about many promising applications, such as supramolecular polymers, nanoelectronics, drug-delivery and controlled release.

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program (2013CB834502) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21125417).

Notes and references

1 (a) J. M. Lehn, Science, 1985, 227, 849–856; (b) P. Jonkheijm and E. W. Meijer, Science, 2006, 313, 80–83; (c) Y. Han, Y.-K. Gu, J.-F. Xiang and C.-F. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012,
48, 11076–11078; (d) Y. Ding, P. Wang, Y.-K. Tian, Y.-J. Tian and F. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 5951–5953;
(e) G.-Z. Zhao, L.-J. Chen, W. Wang, J. Zhang, G. Yang, D.-X. Wang, Y. Yu and H.-B. Yang, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, 19, 10094–10100; (f) B. Jiang, L.-J. Chen, L. Xu, S.-Y. Liu and H.-B. Yang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 6977–6979;
(g) H.-Q. Peng, J.-F. Xu, Y.-Z. Chen, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and Q.-Z. Yang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 1334–1337.

- 2 (a) D.-S. Guo and Y. Liu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 5907–5921; (b) L.-X. Wang, L. Zhao, D.-X. Wang and M.-X. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 9690–9692; (c) D.-X. Wang, S.-X. Fa, Y. Liu, B.-Y. Hou and M.-X. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 11458–11460.
- 3 (a) G. J. E. Davidson and S. J. Loeb, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 74-77; (b) F. Huang, F. R. Fronczek and H. W. Gibson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 9272-9273; (c) F. Huang and H. W. Gibson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 14738-14739; (d) F. Huang, D. S. Nagvekar, C. Slebodnick and H. W. Gibson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 484-485; (e) W. Jiang, A. Schäfer, P. C. Mohr and C. A. Schalley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 2309-2320; (f) M. Liu, S. Li, M. Hu, F. Wang and F. Huang, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 760-763; (g) M. Liu, X. Yan, M. Hu, X. Chen, M. Zhang, B. Zheng, X. Hu, S. Shao and F. Huang, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 2558-2661; (h) S. Li, J. Chen, B. Zheng, S. Dong, Z. Ma, H. W. Gibson and F. Huang, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2010, 48, 4067-4073; (i) X. Yan, M. Zhang, P. Wei, B. Zheng, X. Chi, X. Ji and F. Huang, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 9840-9842.
- 4 (a) A. Harada, Acc. Chem. Res., 2001, 34, 456-464;
 (b) Q.-C. Wang, D.-H. Qu, J. Ren, K. Chen and H. Tian, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2661-2665; (c) X. Liao, G. Chen, X. Liu, W. Chen, F. Chen and M. Jiang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 4409-4413; (d) T. Liu, X. J. Li, Y. F. Qian, X. L. Hu and S. Y. Liu, Biomaterials, 2012, 33, 2521-2531.
- 5 (a) W.-H. Huang, P. Y. Zavalij and L. Isaacs, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 7425–7427; (b) Y. Lan, X. J. Loh, J. Geng, Z. Walsh and O. A. Scherman, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 8757–8759.
- 6 (a) T. Ogoshi, S. Kanai, S. Fujinami, T. A. Yamagishi and Y. Nakamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 5022–5023;
 (b) D. Cao, Y. Kou, J. Liang, Z. Chen, L. Wang and H. Meier, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9721–9723;
 (c) C. Li, L. Zhao, J. Li, X. Ding, S. Chen, Q. Zhang, Y. Yu and X. Jia, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 9016–9018;
 (d) Z. Zhang, Y. Luo, J. Chen, S. Dong, Y. Yu, Z. Ma and F. Huang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 1397–1401;
 (e) Z. Zhang, G. Yu, C. Han, J. Liu, X. Ding, Y. Yu and F. Huang, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 4818–4821;
 (f) C. Li, X. Shu, J. Li, S. Chen, K. Han, M. Xu, B. Hu, Y. Yu and X. Jia, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 8458–8465;
 (g) W. Si, L. Chen, X.-B. Hu, G. Tang, Z. Chen, J.-L. Hou and Z.-T. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 12564–12568;
 (h) Z. Zhang, C. Han, G. Yu and F. Huang, Chem. Sci., 2012, 3, 3026–3031;
 (i) C. Han, G. Yu, B. Zheng and F. Huang,

Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 1712-1715; (j) M. Holler, N. Allenbach, J. Sonet and J.-F. Nierengarten, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2576-2578; (k) X. Shu, S. Chen, J. Li, Z. Chen, L. Weng, X. Jia and C. Li, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2967-2969; (l) I. Nierengarten, S. Guerra, M. Holler, J.-F. Nierengarten and R. Deschenaux, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 8072-8074; (m) Y. Guan, M. Ni, X. Hu, T. Xiao, S. Xiong, C. Lin and L. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 8529-8531; (n) G. Yu, Z. Zhang, C. Han, M. Xue, Q. Zhou and F. Huang, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2958-2960; (o) P. Wei, X. Yan, J. Li, Y. Ma, Y. Yao and F. Huang, Tetrahedron, 2012, 68, 9179-9185; (p) X.-Y. Hu, X. Wu, Q. Duan, T. Xiao, C. Lin and L. Wang, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 4826-4829; (q) X.-B. Hu, Z. Chen, G. Tang, J.-L. Hou and Z.-T. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 8384-8387; (r) Y. Yao, M. Xue, J. Chen, M. Zhang and F. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15712-15715; (s) H. Zhang, X. Ma, J. Guo, K. T. Nguyen, Q. Zhang, X.-J. Wang, H. Yan, L. Zhu and Y. Zhao, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 368-371; (t) D.-X. Chen, Y.-L. Sun, Y. Zhang, J.-Y. Cui, F.-Z. Shen and Y.-W. Yang, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 5765-5768; (u) Y. Fang, L. Wu, J. Liao, L. Chen, Y. Yang, N. Liu, L. He, S. Zou, W. Feng and L. Yuan, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 12376-12383; (v) Y.-L. Sun, Y.-W. Yang, D.-X. Chen, G. Wang, Y. Zhou, C.-Y. Wang and J. F. Stoddart, Small, 2013, 9, 3224-3229; (w) J.-F. Xu, Y.-Z. Chen, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and Q.-Z. Yang, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 6148-6151; (x) G. Yu, Y. Ma, C. Han, Y. Yao, G. Tang, Z. Mao, C. Gao and F. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 10310-10313; (y) H. Li, D.-X. Chen, Y.-L. Sun, Y. Zheng, L.-L. Tan, P. S. Weiss and Y.-W. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135,

1570–1576; (z) S. Dong, B. Zheng, Y. Yao, C. Han, J. Yuan, M. Antonietti and F. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 6864–6867.

- 7 (a) Y. Ma, Z. Zhang, X. Ji, C. Han, J. He, A. Zeper, W. Chen and F. Huang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, 5331–5335;
 (b) Y. Ma, X. Chi, X. Yan, J. Liu, Y. Yao, W. Chen, F. Huang and J.-L. Hou, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 1532–1535; (c) G. Yu, M. Xue, Z. Zhang, J. Li, C. Han and F. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 13248–13251; (d) G. Yu, C. Han, Z. Zhang, J. Chen, X. Yan, B. Zheng, S. Liu and F. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 8711–8717; (e) G. Yu, X. Zhou, Z. Zhang, C. Han, Z. Mao, C. Gao and F. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 19489–19497; (f) Q. Duan, C. Yu, L. Yan, X. Hu, T. Xiao, C. Lin, Y. Pan and L. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 10542–10549; (g) H. Zhang and Y. Zhao, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, 19, 16862–16879; (h) D. Xia, G. Yu, J. Li and F. Huang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 3606–3608.
- 8 (a) Y. Chen, H. Q. Tao, Y. H. Kou, H. Meier, J. L. Fu and D. R. Cao, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, 23, 509-511;
 (b) X.-B. Hu, Z. Chen, L. Chen, L. Zhang, J.-L. Hou and Z.-T. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 10999-11001;
 (c) C. Han, Z. Zhang, X. Chi, M. Zhang, G. Yu and F. Huang, *Acta Chim. Sin.*, 2012, 70, 1775-1778.
- 9 Y. Shimazaki and M. Yamamoto, *Langmuir*, 1997, **13**, 1385–1387.
- 10 T. Ogoshi, M. Hashizume, T. Yamagishi and Y. Nakamoto, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 3708–3710.
- 11 C. Wang, S. Yin, S. Chen, H. Xu, Z. Wang and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 9049–9052.